

Systematics of the Light and Strange Baryons and the Symmetries of QCD

L. Ya. Glozman^{1,2} and D.O. Riska¹

¹*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, 00014 Finland*

²*Alma-Ata Power Engineering Institute, 480013 Alma-Ata, Kazakhstan*

Abstract

The spectra of the nucleons and the strange hyperons are well described by a harmonic confinement potential for the constituent quarks and an SU(3) flavor-symmetric interaction mediated by the pseudoscalar octet that is associated with the hidden approximate chiral symmetry of QCD. The spectrum is formed of multiplets of $SU(6)_{FS} \times U(6)_{conf}$, which are mixed by the octet interaction. With 4 matrix elements of the octet mediated interaction determined by the lowest mass splittings in the nucleon spectrum the resulting mass formula predicts the whole spectrum with an accuracy of $\sim 5\%$.

PACS numbers 12.39.-x, 12.39.Fe, 12/40.Yx, 14.20.Gk

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Helsinki,

Preprint HU-TFT-94-48, Dec. 2, 1994

LANL hep-ph 9412231

The absence of nearby parity partners to the lowest states in the spectra of the nucleons and the strange hyperons shows that the (approximate) chiral symmetry of QCD is realized in the hidden (Nambu-Goldstone) mode at low excitation energy. This hidden mode of chiral symmetry is associated with the existence of the nonet of light pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons (mesons) and constituent quarks. The "chiral" pseudoscalar octet (the η' decouples because of the $U(1)$ anomaly [1]) will mediate interactions between the constituent quarks. Even a schematic treatment of this octet mediated interaction can explain the fine structure of the baryon spectrum in the light and strange flavor sectors at the 30% level under the assumption that the gross features of the spectra are determined by a harmonic confining interaction between the constituent quarks [2]. We here show that when the full orbital structure of the baryon states is taken into account this model provides a very satisfactory description of the spectra of the nucleon, Δ -resonance and the Λ -hyperon by which all the resonance energies are predicted to within $\sim 5\%$ of the empirical values when the 4 basic radial integrals of the octet interaction are extracted from the lowest mass splittings in the nucleon spectrum.

The simplest representation of the interaction that is mediated by the octet of pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons is [2]

$$H_\chi \sim - \sum_{i < j} V(\vec{r}_{ij}) \vec{\lambda}_i^F \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j^F \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j. \quad (1)$$

Here the $\{\vec{\lambda}_i^F\}$ s are flavor $SU(3)$ matrices and the i, j sums run over the constituent quarks. The form of this interaction is an immediate generalization of the spin-spin component of the pseudoscalar (pion) exchange interaction, $-\sum_{i < j} g^2 \vec{\tau}_i \cdot \vec{\tau}_j \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j [\delta(\vec{r}_{ij}) - \mu^2 \exp(-\mu r_{ij})/4\pi r_{ij}] / 12m_i m_j$, with a "smeared" δ -function term (m_i, m_j denote the quark masses). The smearing is related to the finite size of the constituent quarks and the pseudoscalar mesons. The associated tensor component of the interaction plays an important role for the small spin-orbit splitting of the baryon spectrum, but not for its main systematics.

An important phenomenological reason for considering the chiral field interaction as the main source of the fine structure of the baryon spectra in the light flavor sectors is that it explains the different ordering of the lowest positive and negative parity resonances in the spectra of the nucleon and the Σ hyperon on the one hand and the Λ hyperon on the other. This feature has proven hard to explain in terms of the color magnetic interaction [3] that has been assumed to

be the main cause of the fine structure of the spectrum in most previous work on the baryon spectra that has employed the constituent quark model [4,5]. A second such reason is that the pseudoscalar exchange interaction (1) naturally explains the absence of the strong spin-orbit interaction that would be expected to be associated with a gluon exchange model [3].

In Tables 1 and 2 we list the spectra of the nucleons, Δ -resonances and Λ -hyperons and their most natural symmetry classification according to the group structure $SU(3)_F \times SU(2)_S \times U(6)_{conf}$. The notation is that of the Elliott scheme as suggested in ref. [6]. In this notation N is the number of quanta in the state, which characterizes the $U(6)$ multiplet of the 3-quark system with harmonic quark-quark interactions. The Elliott symbol $(\lambda\mu)$ characterizes the $SU(3)$ harmonic oscillator symmetry and L is the orbital angular momentum. The permutational symmetry of the states is indicated by $[f]_X$, where f is the list of boxes in the successive rows of the corresponding Young patterns. Similarly the permutational symmetry of the flavor-spin $SU(6)_{FS}$, flavor $SU(3)_F$ and spin $SU(2)_S$ states are denoted by corresponding Young patterns $[f]_{FS}$, $[f]_F$ and $[f]_S$. The explicit expressions for the wavefunctions are given in ref. [6]. In the tables we also list the contributions to the energies caused by the chiral field interaction (1) as linear combinations of radial integrals of the interaction potential $V(\vec{r})$, which determine the fine structure splitting according to the chiral field interaction (1) in first order perturbation theory. These radial integrals are defined as

$$P_{nl} = \langle \varphi_{nlm}(\vec{r}_{12}) | V(\vec{r}_{12}) | \varphi_{nlm}(\vec{r}_{12}) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where the $|\varphi_{nlm}(\vec{r}_{12})\rangle$ represents the harmonic oscillator wavefunction with n excited quanta.

If the confining interaction between two constituent quarks i, j is taken to have the harmonic oscillator form

$$V_{conf}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = V_0 + \frac{1}{6}m\omega^2(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)^2, \quad (3)$$

where m is the mass of the constituent quark and ω the angular frequency of the oscillator, the Hamiltonian for the 3-quark state becomes

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} - \frac{\vec{P}_{cm}^2}{6m} + \frac{1}{6}m\omega^2 \sum_{i<j}^3 (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)^2 + 3V_0. \quad (4).$$

Here $\vec{P}_{cm}$ denotes the momentum of the whole baryon. For simplicity we have assumed here that the constituent u,d and s quarks all have equal mass m . The exact eigenvalues and eigenstates to the Hamiltonian (4) are then

$$E = (N + 3)\hbar\omega + 3V_0, \quad (5a)$$

$$\Psi = |N(\lambda\mu)L[f]_X[f]_{FS}[f]_F[f]_S\rangle. \quad (5b)$$

The totally antisymmetric color state $[111]_C$, which is common to all the states, is suppressed in (5b). By the Pauli principle $[f]_X = [f]_{FS}$.

The full Hamiltonian is the sum of the confining Hamiltonian (4) and the chiral field interaction (1), which causes the fine structure of the spectrum. When the latter is treated in first order perturbation theory the total mass of the baryon states takes the form

$$M = M_0 + N\hbar\omega + \delta M_\chi. \quad (6).$$

Here $M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i + 3(V_0 + \hbar\omega)$ and δM_χ is the fine structure correction $\langle \Psi | H_\chi | \Psi \rangle$. In the Tables the fine structure correction is given explicitly in terms of radial integrals of the form (2). The interaction (1) is diagonal in states of definite orbital angular momentum L and good $[f]_{FS}$, $[f]_F$, $[f]_S$ symmetry and thus does not cause configuration mixing in first order perturbation theory. The associated tensor interaction, expected to be weak as mentioned above, does however mix states with equal J and flavor symmetry.

The baryon states listed in Tables 1, 2 are completely determined by 4 radial integrals, as long as the spin orbit splitting within the multiplets is neglected. Hence one may proceed phenomenologically by extracting these and the oscillator parameter $\hbar\omega$ from the lowest mass splittings in the $N\Delta$ sector and then predict all the other nucleon and strange hyperon states, as shown below. Beyond that an explicit model for the interaction potential $V(r)$ in (1) at short range would be required.

The oscillator parameter $\hbar\omega$ and the 4 integrals that appear in the two tables are extracted from the mass differences between the nucleon and the $\Delta(1232)$, the $\Delta(1600)$ and the $N(1440)$, as well as the splittings between the nucleon and the average mass of the two pairs of states $N(1535) - N(1520)$ and $N(1720) - N(1680)$. This procedure yields the parameter values $\hbar\omega=157.4$ MeV, $P_{00}=29.3$ MeV, $P_{11}=45.2$ MeV, $P_{20}=2.7$ MeV and $P_{22}=-34.7$ MeV. Given

these values all other excitation energies of the nucleon, Δ - and Λ -hyperon spectra are predicted to within $\sim 15\%$ of the empirical values where known, and well within the uncertainty limits of those values. The parameter values above should be allowed a considerable uncertainty range in view of the uncertainty in the empirical values for the resonance energies.

The relative magnitudes and signs of the numerical parameter values can be readily understood. If the potential function $V(\vec{r})$ is assumed to have the form of a Yukawa function with a smeared δ -function term that is positive at short range $r \leq 0.6 - 0.8$ fm, as suggested by the pion size $\sqrt{\langle r_\pi^2 \rangle} = 0.66$ fm, one expects P_{20} to be considerably smaller than P_{00} and P_{11} , as the radial wavefunction for the excited S-state has a node, and as it extends further into region of where the potential is negative. The negative value for P_{22} is also natural as the corresponding wavefunction is suppressed at short range and extends well beyond the expected 0 in the potential function. The relatively small value of the oscillator parameter (157.4 MeV) leads to the empirical value 0.86 fm for the nucleon radius $\sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$ if the quark mass is taken to be 330 MeV, as suggested by the magnetic moments of the nucleon.

It should be emphasized that the overall – sign in the chiral interaction (1) corresponds to that of the usual pion exchange potential at short distances, so that the interaction is attractive in completely symmetric spin-isospin states and repulsive in antisymmetrical spin-isospin states. This argument can be directly extended to $SU(3)_F$ [2] and hence symmetrical FS pair states experience an attractive interaction at short range, whereas antisymmetrical ones experience repulsion. This explains why the $[3]_{SF}$ state in the $N(1440)$, $\Delta(1600)$ and $\Sigma(1660)$ positive parity resonances feels a larger attractive interaction than the mixed symmetry state $[21]_{SF}$ in the $N(1535)$, $\Delta(1700)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ resonances. Consequently the masses of the positive parity states $N(1440)$, $\Delta(1600)$ and $\Sigma(1660)$ are shifted down relative to the other ones, which explains the reversal of the otherwise expected "normal ordering". The situation is different in the case of the $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Lambda(1600)$, as the flavor state of the $\Lambda(1405)$ is totally antisymmetric. Because of this the $\Lambda(1405)$ gains an attractive energy, which is comparable to that of the $\Lambda(1600)$, and thus the ordering suggested by the confining oscillator interaction is maintained.

The predicted nucleon (and Δ) spectrum, which in Table 1 is listed up to $N = 2$, contains two groups of nonconfirmed and unobserved states. These all belong to the $N = 2$ -band. The lowest group is the 4 Δ states around 1675

MeV, one of which plausibly corresponds to the 1-star $\Delta(1750)$. The predicted $\frac{3}{2}^+$ and $\frac{5}{2}^+$ resonances around 1909 MeV plausibly correspond to the 1- and 2-star resonances $N(1900)$ and $N(2000)$ respectively. The predicted Λ spectrum contains one unobserved state in the $N = 1$ band and 8 in the $N = 2$ band. As these are predicted to lie close to observed states with large widths their existence is not ruled out.

It proves instructive to consider the symmetry structure of the harmonic confining + chiral octet mediated interaction (1) model presented here in view of the highly satisfactory predictions obtained for the spectra of the nucleon, the Δ and the Λ -hyperon. The symmetry group for the orbital part of a harmonically bound A quark system is $U(3(A - 1))$, which in the present case reduces to $U(6)$. In the absence of the fine-structure interaction (1), and with equal u,d and s- quark masses, the baryon states would form unsplit multiplets of the full symmetry group $SU(6)_{FS} \times U(6)_{conf}$. The chiral interaction (1) lifts this degeneracy within the multiplets and is in fact strong enough to mix members of different multiplets. Thus the $N=2$ resonance $N(1440)$ is shifted down below the $N=1$ resonance $N(1535)$ etc. When this shifting moves states from adjacent N -levels close to each other near degenerate parity doublets appear. The model thus suggests an explicit explanation of the observed near parity doubling of the spectrum at high energies, which is however only apparently accidental [7].

It is an empirical fact that the spectra of the nucleon, the Δ and the Λ -hyperon at high excitation are formed of near parity doublets, the splittings of which rapidly decrease with energy. In the nucleon spectrum this is revealed by the shrinkage of the 95 MeV separation in the $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$ $N(1535) - N(1440)$ parity doublet to only 60 MeV in the $N(1710) - N(1650)$ doublet. The splitting of the corresponding $\frac{3}{2}^\pm$ and $\frac{5}{2}^\pm$ parity doublets around 1700 MeV is only 20 MeV and 5 MeV respectively. In the case of the Λ -spectrum the 70 MeV splitting between the $\Lambda(1670)$ and the $\Lambda(1600)$ $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$ parity doublet shrinks to only 10 MeV in the parity doublet $\Lambda(1810) - \Lambda(1800)$. This gradual transition from a low energy sector with well separated single states to a near parity doubled spectrum at high excitation is most naturally explained as a gradual transition from the hidden realization of the approximate chiral symmetry of QCD to the explicit mode [2]. Within the constituent quark model the most natural suggestion for the appearance of the parity doublets is that the Hamiltonian that includes confinement (4) and the chiral field interaction (1) contains a hidden additional symmetry beyond the $SU(6)_{FS} \times U(6)_{conf}$. The latter is broken by (1). This conjecture is supported by the relative insensitivity of predicted spectra to the

parameter values used.

The approximate chiral symmetry of 3-flavor QCD in the Wigner-Weyl mode is the symmetry under independent rotation of the left and right quark fields in flavor space. The corresponding symmetry group is

$$U(3)_L^F \times U(3)_R^F = SU(3)_L^F \times SU(3)_R^F \times U(1)_A^F \times U(1)_V^F. \quad (7)$$

From this product the group $U(1)_A$ should be dropped as the corresponding symmetry is broken at the quantum level [8]. The chiral symmetry should in fact be extended to $SU(3)_L^F \times SU(3)_R^F \times SU(2)^O \times U(1)_V^F$ because of the spatial rotational invariance ("O") for the left- and right-handed quarks. When the chiral symmetry is realized in the hidden mode this symmetry group is only $SU(6)_V^{FO} \times U(1)_V^F = U(6)$. This is precisely the symmetry of the confining oscillator Hamiltonian for the 3-quark state. The following conjectures now suggest themselves: (i) The confining interaction is related to the $SU(6)_V^{FO} \times U(1)_V^F$ part of QCD, and would be harmonic in the chiral limit, (ii) only 2- and 3-quark systems are confined, since the required $U(3(A-1))$ symmetries that would be required for confinement of systems of $A > 3$ quarks are not symmetries of the QCD Lagrangian, (iii) the chiral field interaction (1) causes a gradual restoration of the full chiral symmetry $SU(3)_L^F \times SU(3)_R^F \times SU(2)^O \times U(1)_V^F$ and (iv) the emergence of the parity doublets signals the gradual restoration of explicit chiral symmetry.

The model described here has relied on an interaction potential $V(\vec{r})$ in eq. (1) that is flavor independent. A refined version should take into account the explicit flavor dependence of the potential function that is caused by the explicit chiral symmetry breaking in QCD implied by the large mass splitting of the pseudoscalar octet. That flavor dependence leads e.g. to different values for the S -state matrix elements P_{00}^π and $P_{00}^\eta \simeq P_{00}^K$ of the interactions that are mediated by the different pseudoscalar mesons. These may be determined from the octet-decuplet mass splittings $m_\Delta - m_N = 12P_{00}^\pi - 2P_{00}^\eta$ and $m_{\Sigma(1385)} - m_\Sigma = 4P_{00}^\eta + 6P_{00}^K$ to be $P_{00}^\pi = 27.6$ MeV and $P_{00}^\eta \simeq P_{00}^K = 19.2$ MeV. With these values one may predict the mass splittings within the baryon octet:

$$m_\Lambda - m_N = 6P_{00}^\pi - 6P_{00}^K + m_s - m_{u,d}, \quad (8a)$$

$$m_\Sigma - m_\Lambda = 8P_{00}^\pi - 4P_{00}^K - 4P_{00}^\eta, \quad (8b)$$

$$m_\Xi - m_\Sigma = P_{00}^\pi - P_{00}^\eta + m_s - m_{u,d}. \quad (8c)$$

The last one of these equations gives value 119 MeV for the mass difference between the strange and u,d quarks. For the $\Lambda - N$ and $\Sigma - \Lambda$ mass differences we then predict the values 169 MeV and 67 MeV in good agreement with the corresponding experimental values 176 MeV and 74 MeV respectively. Moreover the ratio $P_{00}^\pi/P_{00}^K \simeq 1.44$ agrees well with the ratio of $m_s/m_{u,d} \simeq 1.4$ as expected on the basis of the form of the δ -function part of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction (assuming again that $m_u \simeq 330$ MeV). Note that this explanation of the octet mass splittings is different from the early suggestion for explaining it in terms of an interaction of the form $\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j V(\vec{r})/m_i m_j$, with $V(\vec{r})$ being a flavor independent function [9-12]. The systematics of the spectra of the Σ and Ξ hyperons is predicted to be similar to that in Table 1, with an obvious assignment of all confirmed resonances up to 2 GeV. Direct application of the parameter values used in Tables above will however lead to an overprediction of 30-130 MeV of the Σ - and Ξ -resonance energies because of the neglect there of the flavor dependence of $V(\vec{r})$ and the constituent quark mass differences. The spectrum of the Ω -hyperon should have the same structure as that of the Δ -resonance.

Quark-quark interactions that involve the flavor degrees of freedom have been found to arise in the instanton induced interaction between the constituent quarks [8]. This interaction has recently been applied directly to baryon structure [13-15]. It differs in a crucial aspect from the pseudoscalar octet mediated interaction (1) in that it vanishes in flavor symmetric pair states. As a consequence it fails to account for the fine structure in the Δ -spectrum, as exemplified e.g. in the prediction of the wrong ordering of the $\Delta(1600)$ and the negative parity pair $\Delta(1620) - \Delta(1700)$ [13].

Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by Academy of Finland Grant #7635. Stimulating discussions with F.Coester and V.G.Neudatchin and valuable correspondence with M.V.Polyakov are acknowledged.

References

1. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 3583 (1975)
2. L. Ya. Glozman and D.O.Riska, The Baryon Spectrum and Chiral Dynamics, Preprint HU-TFT-94-47
3. A. DeRujula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. **D12** (1975) 147
4. N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. **D18**, 4187 (1978)
5. N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 2653 (1979)
6. L. Ya. Glozman and E. I. Kuchina, Phys. Rev. **C49**, 1149 (1994)
7. F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2240 (1989)
8. G.'t Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976)
9. Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. D. Sakharov, Yad. Fiz **4**, 395 (1966) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **4**, 283 (1967)]
10. A.D.Sakharov, JETP Lett. **21**, 554 (1975) [JETP Lett. **21**, 258 (1975)]
11. A.D.Sakharov, JETP **78**, 2112 (1980) [Sov.Phys.JETP **51**, 1059 (1980)]
12. A.D.Sakharov, JETP **79**, 350 (1980) [Sov.Phys.JETP **52**, 175 (1980)]
13. A. E. Dorokhov, Yu. A. Zubov and N. I. Kochelev, Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra **23**, 1192 (1992) [Sov.J.Part.Nucl. **23**, 522 (1993)]
14. E. V. Shuryak and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Lett. **B218**, 72 (1989)
15. S. Takeuchi and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1271 (1991)

Table 1

The structure of the nucleon and Δ resonance states up to $N = 2$, including 11 predicted unobserved or nonconfirmed states indicated by question marks. The predicted energy values (in MeV) are given in the brackets under the empirical ones.

$N(\lambda\mu)L[f]_X[f]_{FS}[f]_F[f]_S$	LS multiplet	average energy	δM_χ
$0(00)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, N$	939	$-14P_{00}$
$0(00)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[3]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Delta$	1232 (input)	$-4P_{00}$
$2(20)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, N(1440)$	1440 (input)	$-7P_{00} - 7P_{20}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, N(1535); \frac{3}{2}^-, N(1520)$	1527 (input)	$-7P_{00} + 5P_{11}$
$2(20)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[3]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Delta(1600)$	1600 (input)	$-2P_{00} - 2P_{20}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[3]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, \Delta(1620); \frac{3}{2}^-, \Delta(1700)$	1660 (1719)	$-2P_{00} + 6P_{11}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, N(1650); \frac{3}{2}^-, N(1700)$ $\frac{5}{2}^-, N(1675)$	1675 (1629)	$-2P_{00} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[3]_X[3]_{FS}[3]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Delta(1750?); \frac{3}{2}^+, \Delta(?)$ $\frac{5}{2}^+, \Delta(?); \frac{7}{2}^+, \Delta(?)$	1750? (1675)	$-2P_{00} - 2P_{22}$
$2(20)2[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, N(1720); \frac{5}{2}^+, N(1680)$	1700 (input)	$-7P_{00} - 7P_{22}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, N(1710)$	1710 (1778)	$-\frac{7}{2}P_{00} - \frac{7}{2}P_{20} + 5P_{11}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, N(?)$	? (1813)	$-P_{00} - P_{20} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, N(1900?); \frac{5}{2}^+, N(2000?);$	1950? (1909)	$-\frac{7}{2}P_{00} - \frac{7}{2}P_{22} + 5P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, N(?); \frac{3}{2}^+, N(?)$ $\frac{5}{2}^+, N(?); \frac{7}{2}^+, N(1990?)$	1990? (1850)	$-P_{00} - P_{22} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[3]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Delta(1910)$	1910 (1903)	$-P_{00} - P_{20} + 6P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[3]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Delta(1920); \frac{5}{2}^+, \Delta(1905)$	1912 (1940)	$-P_{00} - P_{22} + 6P_{11}$

Table 2

The structure of the Λ -hyperon states up to $N = 2$, including 10 predicted unobserved or nonconfirmed states indicated by question marks. The predicted energies (in MeV) are given in the brackets under the empirical values.

$N(\lambda\mu)L[f]_X[f]_{FS}[f]_F[f]_S$	LS multiplet	average energy	δM_χ
$0(00)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Lambda$	1115	$-14P_{00}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[111]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, \Lambda(1405); \frac{3}{2}^-, \Lambda(1520)$	1462 (1512)	$-12P_{00} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)0[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Lambda(1600)$	1600 (1616)	$-7P_{00} - 7P_{20}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, \Lambda(1670); \frac{3}{2}^-, \Lambda(1690)$	1680 (1703)	$-7P_{00} + 5P_{11}$
$1(10)1[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^-, \Lambda(1800); \frac{3}{2}^-, \Lambda(?);$ $\frac{5}{2}^-, \Lambda(1830)$	1815 (1805)	$-2P_{00} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[111]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Lambda(1810)$	1810 (1829)	$-6P_{00} - 6P_{20} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[3]_X[3]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Lambda(1890); \frac{5}{2}^+, \Lambda(1820)$	1855 (1878)	$-7P_{00} - 7P_{22}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Lambda(?)$	? (1954)	$-\frac{7}{2}P_{00} - \frac{7}{2}P_{20} + 5P_{11}$
$2(20)0[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Lambda(?)$	? (1989)	$-P_{00} - P_{20} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[3]_S$	$\frac{1}{2}^+, \Lambda(?); \frac{3}{2}^+, \Lambda(?);$ $\frac{5}{2}^+, \Lambda(?); \frac{7}{2}^+, \Lambda(2020?)$	2020? (2026)	$-P_{00} - P_{22} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[111]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Lambda(?); \frac{5}{2}^+, \Lambda(?)$	? (2053)	$-6P_{00} - 6P_{22} + 4P_{11}$
$2(20)2[21]_X[21]_{FS}[21]_F[21]_S$	$\frac{3}{2}^+, \Lambda(?); \frac{5}{2}^+, \Lambda(2110)$	2110? (2085)	$-\frac{7}{2}P_{00} - \frac{7}{2}P_{22} + 5P_{11}$